

# The Dawn of an Algebraic Era in Discrete Geometry?

Jiří Matoušek

Charles University, Prague and ETH Zurich

**PART I**

**Seven Wonders of 2010**

**(in discrete geometry and environs)**

# The Erdős distinct distances problem

- ▶  $n$  points in the plane
- ▶  $g(n) :=$  smallest possible number of **distinct distances**
- ▶ known:  $g(n) = O(n/\sqrt{\log n})$

# The Erdős distinct distances problem

- ▶  $n$  points in the plane
- ▶  $g(n) :=$  smallest possible number of **distinct distances**
- ▶ known:  $g(n) = O(n/\sqrt{\log n})$
- ▶ **Guth and Katz, 2010:**  $g(n) = \Omega(n/\log n)$
- ▶ Completion of bold ideas of **Elekes** (and Dvir and Sharir and...)

# Size of $\varepsilon$ -nets for geometric set systems

- ▶  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  finite,  $\varepsilon > 0$  given
- ▶ Wanted:  $\varepsilon$ -net w.r.t. halfspaces, i.e.,  $N \subseteq X$  that intersects all *large halfspaces*  $H =$  ones with  $|H \cap X| \geq \varepsilon|X|$ .
- ▶ Based on a general result and known since 1987:  $|N| = O(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$  suffices.

# Size of $\varepsilon$ -nets for geometric set systems

- ▶  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  finite,  $\varepsilon > 0$  given
- ▶ Wanted:  $\varepsilon$ -net w.r.t. halfspaces, i.e.,  $N \subseteq X$  that intersects all *large halfspaces*  $H =$  ones with  $|H \cap X| \geq \varepsilon|X|$ .
- ▶ Based on a general result and known since 1987:  $|N| = O(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$  suffices.
- ▶ **Alon 2009:** first **superlinear lower bound**.
- ▶ **Pach and Tardos 2010:**  $|N| = \Omega(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$  really needed, for  $d \geq 4$ .
  - ▶ More:  $\varepsilon$ -nets w.r.t. *axis-parallel rectangles in the plane* ... order  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ .
- ▶ Lesson: a Ramsey-type theorem can sometimes solve “irregularity of distribution” problem, even quantitatively!

# Selection lemma topologically

- ▶  $P$  ... a set of  $n$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- ▶ [Bárány]  $\forall d \exists c_d > 0$ :  
There is a point  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  contained in  $\geq c_d$  fraction of all  $d$ -simplices spanned by  $P$ .

# Selection lemma topologically

- ▶  $P$  ... a set of  $n$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- ▶ [Bárány]  $\forall d \exists c_d > 0$ :  
There is a point  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  contained in  $\geq c_d$  fraction of all  $d$ -simplices spanned by  $P$ .
- ▶ **Gromov** 2010: amazing new **topological proof**, with substantially improved lower bound for  $c_d$ .
- ▶ **Karasev 2010**: 2-page elementary version.



# The Hirsch conjecture disproved

- ▶ Hirsch: the graph of a  $d$ -dimensional convex polytope with  $n$  facets has diameter at most  $n - d$ ?!?

# The Hirsch conjecture disproved

- ▶ Hirsch: the graph of a  $d$ -dimensional convex polytope with  $n$  facets has diameter at most  $n - d$ ?!?
- ▶ **Santos 2010: NO**, diameter can be at  $\geq (1 + \varepsilon)(n - d)$ , for some specific small  $\varepsilon_0$ .
- ▶ Upper bound only  $n^{O(\log d)}$  [Kalai, Kleitman]

# The long randomized simplex

- ▶ The **simplex method** for linear programming: very good in practice, but is it **polynomial**??
- ▶ Klee & Minty **NO**, **exponential** for several **deterministic** pivoting rules.
- ▶ Maybe randomized rules could help?
- ▶ RANDOM FACET **subexponential**, roughly  $e^{O(\sqrt{n})}$ .

# The long randomized simplex

- ▶ The **simplex method** for linear programming: very good in practice, but is it **polynomial**??
- ▶ Klee & Minty **NO, exponential** for several **deterministic** pivoting rules.
- ▶ Maybe randomized rules could help?
- ▶ RANDOM FACET **subexponential**, roughly  $e^{O(\sqrt{n})}$ .
- ▶ **Friedmann, Dueholm, Zwick 2010**: RANDOM FACET **no better than that**, and RANDOM EDGE also at least about  $e^{n^{1/4}}$ .
- ▶ PR: also won the Zadeh \$1000 bet.
- ▶ New technology for building hard instances of linear programs from randomized **parity games**.

## Balanced colorings semidefinitely

- ▶ Roth: for an arbitrary red/blue coloring of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , there is always an **arithmetic progression** where one color outnumbers the other by at least  $cn^{1/4}$ .
- ▶ One of many problems in **discrepancy theory**.
- ▶ Beck's partial coloring method & some more tricks: a coloring with discrepancy  $O(n^{1/4})$  **exists**.

## Balanced colorings semidefinitely

- ▶ Roth: for an arbitrary red/blue coloring of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , there is always an **arithmetic progression** where one color outnumbers the other by at least  $cn^{1/4}$ .
- ▶ One of many problems in **discrepancy theory**.
- ▶ Beck's partial coloring method & some more tricks: a coloring with discrepancy  $O(n^{1/4})$  **exists**.
- ▶ **Bansal 2010**: such a coloring can be **computed in polynomial time** (well, up to some logs).
- ▶ Also makes many other results on discrepancy constructive.
- ▶ **Semidefinite relaxation**, rounding by **SDP-driven random walk**.
- ▶ New **structural understanding**; e.g., discrepancy of a union of two set systems.

# Log-concavity for the chromatic polynomial

- ▶ A graph  $G$ ;  $p(n) :=$  number of proper colorings of  $G$  with  $n$  colors.
- ▶ Fact:  $p(n)$  is a polynomial: the **chromatic polynomial**.
- ▶  $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i$ .

# Log-concavity for the chromatic polynomial

- ▶ A graph  $G$ ;  $p(n) :=$  number of proper colorings of  $G$  with  $n$  colors.
- ▶ Fact:  $p(n)$  is a polynomial: the **chromatic polynomial**.
- ▶  $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i$ .
- ▶ **Huh 2010:**  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d)$  **log-concave**, and consequently **unimodal**.
- ▶ Singularities of local analytic functions, mixed multiplicities of ideals, ...



## PART II

# Distinct Distances and Other Algebraic Magic

# Erdős' 1946 problems

- ▶  $I(m, n)$ , maximum possible number of incidences of  $m$  points and  $n$  lines in the plane;
- ▶ maximum possible number of unit distances among  $n$  points in the plane;
  - ▶  $\approx$  maximum possible number of incidences of  $n$  points and  $n$  unit circles in the plane
- ▶ minimum possible number of distinct distances determined by  $n$  points in the plane.

## Erdős' 1946 problems

- ▶  $I(m, n)$  tight upper bound by Szemerédi and Trotter in 1983 (and several simpler proofs since then)
- ▶ in particular,  $I(n, n) = \Theta(n^{4/3})$

## Erdős' 1946 problems

- ▶  $I(m, n)$  tight upper bound by Szemerédi and Trotter in 1983 (and several simpler proofs since then)
- ▶ in particular,  $I(n, n) = \Theta(n^{4/3})$
- ▶ for unit distances, the same methods also yield  $O(n^{4/3})$
- ▶ but lower bound smaller than  $n^{1+\delta}$  for every fixed  $\delta > 0$ .

# Unit distances

- ▶ Valtr: there exist strictly convex norms with  $\Omega(n^{4/3})$  unit distances

# Unit distances

- ▶ Valtr: there exist **strictly convex norms** with  $\Omega(n^{4/3})$  unit distances
- ▶ ... algebraic properties of the circle needed!

# Unit distances

- ▶ Valtr: there exist **strictly convex norms** with  $\Omega(n^{4/3})$  unit distances
- ▶ ... algebraic properties of the circle needed!
- ▶ [M.] there exist strictly convex norms admitting only  $O(n \log n \log \log n)$  unit distances.

# Distinct distances (almost) resolved

- ▶ Guth and Katz:  $\Omega(n/\log n)$  distinct distances; tight up to  $\sqrt{\log n}$ .
- ▶ Several ideas:
  - ▶ Elekes: **count isometries** mapping some pair of points of  $P$  to another;
  - ▶ convert the problem to **restricted point/line incidences** in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ;
  - ▶ Dvir: low-degree polynomial vanishing on the lines;
  - ▶ 19th century algebraic geometry (reguli, ruled surfaces, flecnode polynomial, . . . );
  - ▶ **polynomial partitions**.
- ▶ Simplifications & new discoveries surely forthcoming.



# Partitioning by polynomials

- ▶  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  an  $n$ -point set
- ▶  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  polynomial in  $d$  variables;  
 $Z = Z(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  its zero set
- ▶ **Definition:**  $f$  is an  $r$ -partitioning polynomial for  $P$  if no component of  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Z(f)$  contains more than  $n/r$  points of  $P$ .

# Partitioning by polynomials

- ▶  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  an  $n$ -point set
- ▶  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  polynomial in  $d$  variables;  
 $Z = Z(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  its zero set
- ▶ **Definition:**  $f$  is an  $r$ -partitioning polynomial for  $P$  if no component of  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Z(f)$  contains more than  $n/r$  points of  $P$ .
- ▶ **Theorem [Guth, Katz]:** Every  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  has an  $r$ -partitioning polynomial of degree  $O(r^{1/d})$ .

# Partitioning by polynomials

- ▶ **Theorem [Guth, Katz]:** Every  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  has an  $r$ -partitioning polynomial of degree  $O(r^{1/d})$ .
- ▶ Proof: (clever but) **simple!**
  - ▶ **Ham sandwich theorem:** every  $k$  sets in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  can be simultaneously bisected by a hyperplane.
  - ▶ **Polynomial ham sandwich theorem:** every  $\binom{D+d-1}{d}$  sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  can be simultaneously bisected by  $Z(f)$ , with a polynomial  $f$  of degree  $\leq D$ .

# Partitioning by polynomials

- ▶ **Theorem [Guth, Katz]:** Every  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  has an  $r$ -partitioning polynomial of degree  $O(r^{1/d})$ .
- ▶ Proof: (clever but) **simple!**
  - ▶ **Ham sandwich theorem:** every  $k$  sets in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  can be simultaneously bisected by a hyperplane.
  - ▶ **Polynomial ham sandwich theorem:** every  $\binom{D+d-1}{d}$  sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  can be simultaneously bisected by  $Z(f)$ , with a polynomial  $f$  of degree  $\leq D$ .
  - ▶ Proof:
    - $Z(f_1)$  bisects  $P$  into  $P_1, P_2$ ;
    - $Z(f_2)$  bisects  $P_1, P_2$  into  $P_3, P_4, P_5, P_6$ ;
    - $Z(f_3)$  bisects  $P_3, P_4, P_5, P_6$  into  $P_7, P_8, \dots, P_{14}$  (8 sets)
    - ... finish when there are more than  $r$  sets.
  - ▶  $f := f_1 \times f_2 \times \dots$ ; count degree.

# Partitioning by polynomials

- ▶ **Theorem [Guth, Katz]:** Every  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  has an  $r$ -partitioning polynomial of degree  $O(r^{1/d})$ .
- ▶ Similar to earlier tools like **cuttings** and **simplicial partitions**.
- ▶ (Currently) less algorithmic, but may be more powerful in some respects ( $d \geq 3$ ).
- ▶ Problem (& work in progress): what if many points of  $P$  end up in  $Z(f)$  (and thus not really partitioned)?

# Szemerédi, Trotter: $I(n, n) = O(n^{4/3})$

- ▶ **Proof** [Kaplan, M., Sharir]; probably observed by others too.
- ▶ **(Old) lemma:**  $I(P, L) \leq |L| + |P|^2$ .

# Szemerédi, Trotter: $I(n, n) = O(n^{4/3})$

- ▶ **Proof** [Kaplan, M., Sharir]; probably observed by others too.
- ▶ **(Old) lemma:**  $I(P, L) \leq |L| + |P|^2$ .
- ▶ Now  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$   $n$  points;  $L$   $n$  lines. Set  $r := n^{2/3}$ ,  $f$  is an  $r$ -partitioning polynomial,  $\deg(f) = O(n^{1/3})$ .
  - ▶  $P_0$  points in  $Z = Z(f)$ ;
  - ▶  $P_1, P_2, \dots$ , points in components of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus Z$ ;
  - ▶  $L_0$  lines contained in  $Z$ ;
  - ▶  $L_i$  lines incident with  $P_i$  (*not* disjoint!).

# Szemerédi, Trotter: $I(n, n) = O(n^{4/3})$

- ▶ **Proof** [Kaplan, M., Sharir]; probably observed by others too.
- ▶ **(Old) lemma:**  $I(P, L) \leq |L| + |P|^2$ .
- ▶ Now  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$   $n$  points;  $L$   $n$  lines. Set  $r := n^{2/3}$ ,  $f$  is an  $r$ -partitioning polynomial,  $\deg(f) = O(n^{1/3})$ .
  - ▶  $P_0$  points in  $Z = Z(f)$ ;
  - ▶  $P_1, P_2, \dots$ , points in components of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus Z$ ;
  - ▶  $L_0$  lines contained in  $Z$ ;
  - ▶  $L_i$  lines incident with  $P_i$  (*not* disjoint!).
- ▶  $|L_0| \leq D = O(n^{1/3})$ ; responsible for  $O(n^{4/3})$  incidences.
- ▶  $\ell \in L \setminus L_0 \Rightarrow |\ell \cap Z| \leq D = O(n^{1/3})$ .
  - ▶ In particular,  $|\ell \cap P_0| = O(n^{1/3})$ ; so  $P_0$  is OK.



# Szemerédi, Trotter: $I(n, n) = O(n^{4/3})$

- ▶ **Proof** [Kaplan, M., Sharir]; probably observed by others too.
- ▶ **(Old) lemma:**  $I(P, L) \leq |L| + |P|^2$ .
- ▶ Now  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$   $n$  points;  $L$   $n$  lines. Set  $r := n^{2/3}$ ,  $f$  is an  $r$ -partitioning polynomial,  $\deg(f) = O(n^{1/3})$ .
  - ▶  $P_0$  points in  $Z = Z(f)$ ;
  - ▶  $P_1, P_2, \dots$ , points in components of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus Z$ ;
  - ▶  $L_0$  lines contained in  $Z$ ;
  - ▶  $L_i$  lines incident with  $P_i$  (not disjoint!).
- ▶  $|L_0| \leq D = O(n^{1/3})$ ; responsible for  $O(n^{4/3})$  incidences.
- ▶  $\ell \in L \setminus L_0 \Rightarrow |\ell \cap Z| \leq D = O(n^{1/3})$ .
  - ▶ In particular,  $|\ell \cap P_0| = O(n^{1/3})$ ; so  $P_0$  is OK.
- ▶ Remains:
  - ▶  $\sum_{i \geq 1} I(P_i, L_i) \stackrel{\text{lemma}}{\leq} \sum_i |L_i| + \sum_i |P_i|^2$
  - ▶  $\sum_i |L_i| \leq (D + 1)|L| = O(n^{4/3})$
  - ▶  $\sum_i |P_i|^2 \leq (\max_i |P_i|) \sum_i |P_i| \leq (n/r) \cdot n = O(n^{4/3})$ .

# Enriching discrete geometry with algebra

- ▶ **Sum-product theorems**, important mainly in **finite fields**. Elekes; Bourgain, Katz, and Tao; ...
- ▶ **Purdy's conjecture** and similar. Elekes and Rónyai; Elekes, Simonovits, and Szabó
- ▶ **Joints of lines** in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ : Guth, Katz; Elekes, Kaplan, Sharir, Shustin; Quillodrán; building on Dvir's trick.
- ▶ **Unit distances with rational angles**  $O(n^{1+\delta})$ : Schwartz, Solymosi, De Zeeuw.
- ▶ Surely I forgot/overlooked some ...

# The dawn of an algebraic era?

# The dawn of an algebraic era?

Date: Mon, 24 Jan 2011 12:44:28 +0100 (CET)  
From: Jiri Matousek <matousek@kam.mff.cuni.cz>  
To: Hana Polisenka <poli@kam.mff.cuni.cz>  
Subject: prosim objednat knihy

Dobry den,

tady je prosim ten seznam knizek na objednani,  
s pozdravem

Jirka Matousek

Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms: An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra (Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics) [Paperback]  
David A. Cox (Author), John Little (Author), Donal O'Shea (Author)

Using Algebraic Geometry (Graduate Texts in Mathematics) [Paperback]  
David A. Cox John Little (Author), Donal O'Shea (Author)  
Publisher: Springer; 2nd edition (March 17, 2005)

Linear Algebra Done Right [Paperback]  
Sheldon Axler (Author)  
Publisher: Springer; 2nd edition (July 18, 1997)

Commutative Algebra: with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry (Graduate Texts in Mathematics) by David Eisenbud  
Publisher: Springer (March 30, 1995)

The Geometry of Schemes (Graduate Texts in Mathematics) [Paperback]  
David Eisenbud (Author), Joe Harris (Author)  
Publisher: Springer (January 25, 2000)

Combinatorial Commutative Algebra (Graduate Texts in Mathematics)  
Ezra Miller (Author), Bernd Sturmfels (Author)